SINGLE BENCH

(2) (3)

CF1002

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION (S) NO. 135 /2006 07

WRIT PETITION U/A 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA,

PETITIONER

Avinash Chandra Xalco, aged about 49 years, son of Shri Jhumar Juis Xalco, Assistant Teacher, Government Tribal Higher Secondary School, Patratoli, Distt. Jashpur (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh, through – Secretary, Department of Tribal Welfare, Dau Kalyan Singh Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)
2. Assistant Commissioner, Deptt of Tribal Welfare, Distt. Jashpur (C.G.)
3. Block Education Officer, Block Jashpur, Distt. Jashpur (C.G.)

F.I.R. No. 7-928/06
Prosecuted by S.I. D. L. Kumbre
dated 30/12/06

RECEIVED COPY
29-12-06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

01. PARTICULARS ABOUT THE PETITIONER

As per cause title.

(52)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W. P.(S) No. 117 of 2007

Petitioners : Mansingh Khande and others

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

W. P.(S) No. 118 of 2007

Petitioners : Tejram Bhagat and others

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

W. P.(S) No. 121 of 2007

Petitioner : Sanjay Kumar Sinha

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and another

W. P.(S) No. 126 of 2007

Petitioner : Bhuvneshwar Prasad Pradhan

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

W. P.(S) No. 127 of 2007

Petitioner : Kulranjan Ekka

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

W. P.(S) No. 128 of 2007

Petitioner : Smt. Bharti Bai

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and another

W. P.(S) No. 129 of 2007

Petitioner : Philos Akshay Mukut Tigga

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and another

W. P.(S) No. 130 of 2007

Petitioner : Smt. Prasadi Bhagat

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and another

(23)

W. P.(S) No. 131 of 2007

Petitioner : Sagar Sai

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

W. P.(S) No. 133 of 2007

Petitioner : Inus Bek

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and another

W. P.(S) No. 134 of 2007

Petitioner : Baliram Bhagat

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and another

W. P.(S) No. 135 of 2007

Petitioner : Avinash Chandra Xalco

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

W. P.(S) No. 136 of 2007

Petitioner : Smt. Shashikala Bhagat

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and another

W. P.(S) No. 137 of 2007

Petitioner : Chhanduram Bhagat

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and another

W. P.(S) No. 139 of 2007

Petitioner : Ku. Clementina Tigga

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and another

W. P.(S) No. 145 of 2007

Petitioner : Krishna Ram Patel

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

W. P.(S) No. 147 of 2007

Petitioner : Laxminarayan Patel

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and another

W. P.(S) No. 149 of 2007

Petitioner : Smt. Maxi Miliyani Toppo

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and another

W. P.(S) No. 150 of 2007

Petitioner : Smt. Rajmani

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

W. P.(S) No. 151 of 2007

Petitioner : Chamru Ram Nagdeo

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

W. P.(S) No. 159 of 2007

Petitioner : Ganesh Ram Pradhan

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and another

W. P.(S) No. 161 of 2007

Petitioner : Chhotelal Khanna

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and another

W. P.(S) No. 165 of 2007

Petitioner : Ramkumar Choudhari

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and another

And

W. P.(S) No. 168 of 2007

Petitioner : Brijmohan Nayak

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and another

SB: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Satish K. Agnihotri, J

Present: Mr. A.N. Bhakta, Advocate for the petitioner(s).
Mrs. Anju Ahuju, Deputy Government Advocate, Mr. Arvind Dubey, Panel Lawyer, Ms. Sunita Jain, Panel Lawyer for the respondents/State.

ORDER

(Passed on this 17th Day of January, 2007)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners as well as for the State/respondents jointly submit that the facts of the present writ petitions are similar to that of in W. P. (S) No. 5976/2006 (Sushil Tiwari and others vs. State Government of Chhattisgarh & others), which was allowed vide order dated 7.11.2006. They further submit that the question of law involved is also the same.

2. In view of the above-stated submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, these writ petitions are allowed in terms of the order dated 7.11.2006 passed by this Court in W.P. (S.) No. 5976/2006 (Sushil Tiwari and others vs. State Government of Chhattisgarh & others). No order as to costs.

3. A copy of this order be placed on record in other connected writ petition.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Subhash